

# **EXHIBIT 14**

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
3  
4 ESTATE OF ESTHER KLIEMAN, et al., )  
5 Plaintiffs, )  
6 vs. ) Civil Action  
7 THE PALESTINIAN AUTHORITY; ) No. 04-1173 (PLF/JMF)  
8 et al., )  
9 Defendants. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

10

11

12

13

14 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF MAJED FARAJ

15 JERUSALEM, ISRAEL

16 AUGUST 8, 2010

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 REPORTED BY: BRENDA MATZOV, CA CSR NO. 9243

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <p style="text-align: right;">Page 30</p> <p>1 The witness said something, but I don't know<br/>2 what he said. Can you repeat it?<br/>3 THE WITNESS: I will -- I can try to answer<br/>4 that question.<br/>5 Q. BY MR. HEIDEMAN: All right. In light<br/>6 of the objection, let me rephrase the question to<br/>7 see if we can get an unobjectionable form of the<br/>8 question.<br/>9 General Faraj, you've just described, as<br/>10 I understand it, the structure of the Palestinian<br/>11 Authority security apparatus as of September 28, 2000;<br/>12 is that correct?<br/>13 Is that correct?<br/>14 A. Yes. According to the information that I have<br/>15 been mentioning to you.<br/>16 Q. Thank you.<br/>17 Tell us, please, how, if at all, that<br/>18 Palestinian Authority security apparatus structure<br/>19 changed between September 28, 2000, and March 24, 2002,<br/>20 if it changed at all.<br/>21 A. More than the change, there was another event<br/>22 that has occurred. If you mean changes in terms of<br/>23 structures or terms of reference, there is something<br/>24 which, to my view, it's much more pertinent than all<br/>25 the cosmetic changes.</p>  | <p style="text-align: right;">Page 32</p> <p>1 there was some water in this cup a while ago and now<br/>2 it's empty, now there is nothing -- it was just in the<br/>3 name. The security, it was just, you know, by name.<br/>4 I mean, but, in reality, it had been emptied from the<br/>5 content. It has become incapable. It has become sort<br/>6 of paralyzed. Its headquarters has been demolished.<br/>7 It was not allowed to exist in any public area, to show<br/>8 presence. And anyone in uniform was being arrested and<br/>9 chased.<br/>10 You're speaking about, you know, a corpse, you<br/>11 know, just in a name. But it was void from its content.<br/>12 So there is a huge discrepancy. You're speaking about,<br/>13 you know, a hell of a difference between the 28th of<br/>14 September, 2000, and the 24th of March, 2002, other than<br/>15 what we have referred to, which is the incursions, the<br/>16 roadblocks, the paralysis that effectively occurred.<br/>17 So there was irregular work. You know, people<br/>18 were not reporting to work often because they need a<br/>19 place to start with, you know, where people can sort<br/>20 of assume their job. You know, the headquarters were<br/>21 all demolished. And even if the offices were not<br/>22 demolished, people could not have access due to the<br/>23 roadblocks and disruptions and the difficulties in<br/>24 circulation to show up.<br/>25 And there were cases when people were for four</p> |
| <p style="text-align: right;">Page 31</p> <p>1 Q. Thank you.<br/>2 Excuse me. Excuse me.<br/>3 I want to hear what you have to say. But<br/>4 first, please, answer the structural question: Were<br/>5 there any changes in structure?<br/>6 A. Despite the objection of my lawyer, I agreed<br/>7 to respond to the question. So I will respond in my own<br/>8 fashion. I think there was some change in the structure<br/>9 that did occur in terms of the security apparatus.<br/>10 Amin Al-Hindi was around. Haj Ismail also was<br/>11 around. Majida was also there. Jibril Rajoub was also<br/>12 there. They were all around. Ghazi Jabali. Mohammed<br/>13 Dahlan left. Rashid Abu Shbak --<br/>14 OFFICIAL INTERPRETER: Abu Shbak. A-b-u.<br/>15 S-h-b-a-k.<br/>16 THE WITNESS: Faisal Abu Sharkh --<br/>17 OFFICIAL INTERPRETER: S-h-a-r-k-h.<br/>18 THE WITNESS: -- was around. The structure --<br/>19 I mean, the names were there in terms of the security<br/>20 apparatus. I think only Dahlan was not aboard. No, no.<br/>21 On second thought, he was still around. I'm not sure.<br/>22 I'm not sure. I think Dahlan was still around. He left<br/>23 a while later, you know.<br/>24 But the security agencies between the 28th<br/>25 of September, 2000, and 24 March, 2002 -- the same like</p> | <p style="text-align: right;">Page 33</p> <p>1 or five days sleeping at the roadblock in order to have<br/>2 access. So you're speaking about a huge discrepancy in<br/>3 terms of the modus operandi between September 2000 and<br/>4 the 24th of March, 2002.<br/>5 And I can speak for myself to compare what<br/>6 I have passed through. On the 28th of September, he<br/>7 [sic] was in charge of the Preventive Security for the<br/>8 Hebron Governorate. And he [sic] still was in his job<br/>9 more or less around the 24th of March, 2002.<br/>10 Q. BY MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you.<br/>11 A. He was alive. He was thriving on the 28th of<br/>12 September, 2000. And he was a corpse, he was like -- he<br/>13 was just breathing, you know, but effectively in a very<br/>14 shattered situation on the 24th of March, 2002. He had<br/>15 no location, no site to report to. So people were not<br/>16 reporting. No one could fulfill their job. So that was<br/>17 the situation I was passing through. [Sic]<br/>18 Q. Thank you for that explanation.<br/>19 So do we understand correctly, General, that<br/>20 from a Palestinian Authority security viewpoint, the<br/>21 security structure and apparatus was essentially the<br/>22 same in terms of structure on March 24, 2002, as you<br/>23 had already described it existed on September 28, 2000?<br/>24 And this question refers only to structure.<br/>25 MR. O'TOOLE: Objection. Vague. This was</p>                          |